

	ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE	DIGITAL FORENSICS	CYBER SECURITY
Breakout Rooms	London & Madrid	Oslo & Paris	Prague & Rome
09.00 - 10.00 (1 hour)	<p>KEYNOTE SPEECH BY: Nicolas Petit Professor of Law, University of Liege and Liege Competition and Innovation Institute ("LCII") AI Tools For Antitrust Lawyers: Impact on Practice And The Business of Competition Law</p>  <p>Chill Out Zone</p>		

Current Sponsors of INSIG2 LTEC 2016

TITLE SPONSOR



EXCLUSIVE PARTNER - Encrypted Communications



DINNER SPONSOR



PLATINUM SPONSORS



KEYNOTE SPONSORS



GOLD SPONSORS



SILVER SPONSORS



LANYARD SPONSOR



BAG SPONSOR



INsig2 LTEC 2016 Agenda - Monday, 7th November

Breakout Rooms	London & Madrid	Oslo & Paris	Prague & Rome
10.00 - 10.30	COFFEE BREAK		
10.30 - 11.20 (50 min)	<p>EE 1</p> <p>From Bloomberg Vault to kCura Relativity With Chat eDiscovery</p> <p>What are the options and formats when collecting instant messaging data from Bloomberg Vault? What will fit best your investigation needs? How would you process the data and present it to the reviewers? During this session, Forexus will present on how to prepare, plan and execute the collection of chat data from the Vault. During the second part, Forexus will demonstrate how to process this data with Chat eDiscovery 2.5, including elimination of all the duplicative data, identification of irrelevant system messages and creation of full transcripts of the chat conversations. Finally, thanks to the cooperation between kCura and Forexus, a new plugin for Relativity will be introduced. It allows seamless import, filtering, searching and most importantly, efficient and dynamic review.</p> <p>Presenters: Dimitar Bobev, Forexus Steve Couling, kCura</p>	<p>DF 1</p> <p>Julie Amero Case Study – What Went Wrong During The Forensic Investigation?</p> <p>State of Connecticut v. Julie Amero is a court case that took place in 2007 regarding Internet privacy and DNS hijacking. On October 19, 2004, Julie Amero, the defendant in the case, was substituting for a seventh-grade language class at Kelly Middle School in Norwich, Connecticut. The teacher's computer was accessed by pupils while the regular teacher, Matthew Napp, was out of the room. When Amero took charge, the computer started showing pornographic images. On January 5, 2007, Julie Amero was convicted of four counts of risk of injury to a minor, or impairing the morals of a child, as the result of a computer that was infected with spyware and DNS hijacking software. In this session we will explore all the things that went wrong during the investigation and trial. We would also discuss how they can be avoided for future cases.</p> <p>Presenter: Savina Gruičić, INsig2</p>	<p>CS 1</p> <p>Your Cell Phone Hacked & Reputation Destroyed. How Real is The Threat?</p> <p>Do you use mobile devices? Do you use e-mail? Do you store documents on your computer? Have you ever used a public wifi? Got one of those cool messaging apps? And what about your customers and partners? Every yes to any of these questions is a serious problem. Don't be lulled into a false sense of security. Discover the threats and learn what you can do today to stay safe and raise your credibility.</p> <p>Presenter: Paul Kucera, OKsystem - Babelnet</p>
11.30 - 12.20 (50 min)	<p>EE 2</p> <p>Cooperation And Articulation – Seeking Judicial Approval For TAR And Predictive Coding</p> <p>The UK Pyrrho case shows the value of cooperation between the parties (where possible) and the importance of articulating the reasons why it is right to use predictive coding or other technology to give disclosure. The latter becomes even more important where cooperation fails. Karyn Harty won the arguments for the use of predictive coding, in the face of strong opposition, in Irish Bank Resolution v Quinn. Edward Spencer achieved a agreement and a consent order in the English case of Pyrrho. Steven Whitaker, as Senior Master, gave the judgment in Goodale v Ministry of Justice which first urged the use of advanced technology for disclosure. You could not hope for a better team to explain the importance of cooperation and articulation.</p> <p>Moderator: Chris Dale, eDisclosure Information Project</p> <p>Panelists: Karyn Harty, McCann FitzGerald Edward Spencer, Taylor Wessing Steven Whitaker, Former Senior Master Vince Neicho, Allen & Overy LLP</p>	<p>DF 2</p> <p>Multilingual Investigation: How Machine Translation Empowers Litigation Teams When Managing eDiscovery And Digital Forensics Procedures</p> <p>In today's globalized business world, cross-border litigation and regulatory investigation are soaring. In fact, according to Gartner, 80% of all litigation will be multilingual by 2020. Data explosion, ignited by BYOD, social media and big data, have clearly complicated the discovery of Electronically Stored Information (ESI). Within this environment, the use of secure and neutral machine translation becomes increasingly important not only to ensure the confidentiality of ediscovery and digital forensics procedures, but also to search for and find relevant documents in the appropriate languages whilst controlling costs. During this session, let's our expert share with you concrete examples and customers experience and answer all your questions.</p> <p>Presenter: Pierre Bernassau, SYSTRAN SA</p>	<p>CS 2</p> <p>How United Could or Should Europe be in Fighting Cybercrime?</p> <p>What kind of structures do we need for better cooperation? Should the EU launch new rules or the Council of Europe a new convention? Or are the professionals in fighting cybercrime ready and willing to cross borders? Come and hear this panel of experts and join their discussions.</p> <p>Moderator: Sebastian Hess, Isabel Group</p> <p>Panelist: Koen Hermans, Eurojust Robert Deutsch, Zalando Payments Luca De Matteis, European Union Sandro Sinigaglia, ING Belgium Rafael Rondelez, Federal Computer Crime Unit</p>
12.30 - 13.30	LUNCH BREAK		

INsig2 LTEC 2016 Agenda - Monday, 7th November

Breakout Rooms	London & Madrid	Oslo & Paris	Prague & Rome
	EE 3	DF 3	CS 3
13.30 - 14.20 (50 min)	<p>Competition Investigations – Technology Perspectives From The Heads of IT And Legal</p> <p>Technology serves multiple functions when it comes to competition investigations. Companies use it as a proactive preventative tool to protect themselves from inadvertently violating competition laws or, in the alternative, to allege violations or defend against them. The authorities use the technology to help determine if there are violations and to what extent. Join this panel session for an in-depth discussion of the technology from the perspective of IT and Legal.</p> <p>Moderator: Nicolas Petit, University of Liege</p> <p>Panelist: Alessia Oddone Wales, Le Creuset Group AG Karyn Harty, McCann FitzGerald Nick Rich, Stroz Friedberg</p>	<p>The Value of Digital Forensics in Cross-Border Prosecutions of Organised Crime</p> <p>Electronic evidence is volatile by nature. The preservation and collection of electronic evidence regarding persons involved in criminal activity from Electronic Service Providers (ESPs) often poses an immediate challenge to the effectiveness of criminal investigations and prosecutions. When criminal actors communicate using different electronic services, this evidence may reside with ESPs from all over the world. Additionally, ESPs do not necessarily store data inside the jurisdictions of their customers. How to speed up mutual legal assistance procedures and strengthen cooperation with ESPs and how to determine jurisdiction in cyberspace need to be addressed to secure the admissibility of evidence and legal certainty. Come to this presentation to discover more.</p> <p>Presenter: Michèle Coninsx, Eurojust</p>	<p>National Security, Law Enforcement, Personal Data Privacy, Apples And Pears – What is on The Horizon?</p> <p>The problems that digital communications bring to Public Security and the challenges of criminal investigation that police and law-enforcement authorities currently face in this realm are not simple, fantasy or just made up from nothing. They do exist, they are serious, they are sources of concern, they harm individuals and countries. Moreover, it is exactly from these authorities that society demands actual solutions. For that reason it is necessary to search for efficient and balanced mechanisms to combat this menace. But it is crucial that these mechanisms are harmonized with individual liberties which were conquered through time, that are relevant and that reflect in the digital environment. It is essential that these tools and initiatives are reasonable, grounded on technical knowledge that is solid, wide, deep and mainly well-targeted, so that strategies offer better chances of success.</p> <p>Moderator: Cláudio Lucena, Paraíba State University</p> <p>Panelists: Pinar Erdogan, HEXONET GmbH Ljubica Pendaroska, C3 Initiative (Macedonia) Elisabeth de Leeuw, IdTopIQ Nick Prescott, ZeroDayLab Ltd. Julien Debussche, Bird & Bird</p>
14.30 - 15.20 (50 min)	<p>EU Data Privacy And Cross Border Data Transfer - An Update on The Current Rules And Challenges</p> <p>Data protection and privacy are on top of most companies' regulatory agenda due to a number of new developments in this area: the EU General Data Protection Regulation (the 'GDPR') entered into force; the Commission adopted on 12 July 2016 its decision on the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield; and the review of the Privacy and Electronic Communications Directive (2002/58/EC) (better known as the "E-Privacy Directive"). The GDPR introduces significant changes in terms of eg scope, new enhanced rights for data subjects, and additional transparency requirements. During the panel session we will discuss what these changes mean for business organizations and how the latter can best prepare. We aim to provide answers to questions such as: Are special privacy rules necessary for the electronic communications sector? Has the current Directive achieved its objectives? Should a new instrument cover new communication services such as WhatsApp, Viber or Messenger? How to deal with cookies?</p> <p>Moderator: Erik De Herdt, Lex.be</p> <p>Panelists: Eva Skornickova, Independent Advisor/Owner Sabine Mersch, lcm legal consulting & management Steven Whitaker, Former Senior Master Friederike van der Jagt, AVG Technologies</p>	<p>Integrated Corporate GRC Initiatives Against Fraud</p> <p>Fighting fraud and corruption has two major aspects: detection and prevention. We present in-memory technology capabilities allowing efficient big data pattern analysis to block suspicious business transactions and investigate potential compliance or fraud issues. Another key message we would like to bring in this slot is that data analytics is secondary. The biggest value can be achieved when antifraud goals are considered within an integrated Governance, Risk and Compliance program. Both conceptual and practical fundamentals to make a coherent compliance program vision become reality will be explained in this slot. We aim to advise potential clients on implementation experience, main automation drivers, and on best practice structure of a framework, allowing both prevention and detection goals.</p> <p>Presenter: Maxim Chuprunov, Riscomp</p>	<p>The Future of Cybercrime</p> <p>Whether it is about hijacking a plane in mid-flight or stealing \$1 billion dollars via Internet, the cybercrime of the future is no longer a plain future – it is reality and it is here! As the number of devices that are being connected to the Internet grows, the more vulnerable we become to cybercrime. It is predicted that there will be more than 200 billion internet-connected devices by the year 2020. In other words – those are 200 billion vulnerable points surrounding people. This presentation will focus on providing information about the future of cybercrime and will also point out some initiatives to raise user awareness in relation to cybercrime and the dangers it represents.</p> <p>Presenter: Vanja Lalić, INsig2</p>
15.30 - 16.00	COFFEE BREAK		

INsig2 LTEC 2016 Agenda - Monday, 7th November

Breakout Rooms	London & Madrid	Oslo & Paris	Prague & Rome
	EE 5	DF 5	CS 5
16.00 - 16.50 (50 min)	<p>Computer Assisted Review: How Technology is Reducing The Costs of Reviewing Documents</p> <p>Computer Assisted Review (CAR) is becoming an indispensable part of the document review process. The benefit of quicker results combined with greater consistency at a fraction of the cost is driving companies and law firms to use the technology. Understanding its benefits and issues will help in-house counsel and law firms constructively manage costs and time. Join this panel session to find out how CAR is reducing the costs of document review without compromising the results.</p> <p>Moderator: Adi Elliott, Epiq Systems</p> <p>Panelist: Jack Bond, LEGASTAT Luke Smith, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer Pierre Bernassau, SYSTRAN SA Alex Moody, Forensic Data Services</p>	<p>Network And Memory Forensics - The Challenges Posed by These And Other Forms of Volatile Evidence</p> <p>In digital forensic science, there are several issues with volatile evidence, especially when conducting live forensics, where the computer or mobile device is turned on. For approaching these cases it is necessary to have best practices within the laboratories for quality assurance. There are also several solutions provided and users should be aware of questions that can be used later in court, as well as be aware of anti forensic tools that might have been used.</p> <p>Moderator: Zeno Geradts, Netherlands Forensic Institute</p> <p>Panelist: Adrianus Warmenhoven, RedSocks Sofie Depauw, Ghent University</p>	<p>Investigating And Prosecuting Cybercrime</p> <p>The ease with which cybercrime crosses national borders, irreconcilable differences between national legal frameworks, and deceptions employed by cyber criminals impedes attribution and prevents crime fighters from interrogating suspects and apprehending offenders. This presentation invites the audience to contemplate the reality of a cybercrime inquiry and the practical limits of the criminal justice process. A fictitious case study will be used as a vehicle to stimulate thinking and exemplify critical issues for high level consideration. Based on notorious instances of cybercrime offending, this character driven narrative charts the initial commission of criminal activity through until the determination of culpability at trial. This presentation invites the audience to contemplate the reality of a cybercrime inquiry and the practical limits of the criminal justice process. The character driven narrative delivers analytical insights targeting a number of important aspects of investigations and prosecutions.</p> <p>Presenter: Cameron Brown, Independent Cyber Defence Advisor</p>
17.00 - 17.50 (50 min)	<p>EE 6</p> <p>Critical Technology Decisions For In-House Counsel – A Focus on Compliance, Fitness For Purpose, And ROI</p> <p>This seminar will focus on the process of right-sizing a software solution for your company to match the compliance requirement with the correct software solution. Compliance with laws, regulations, and internal policies remain a critical component of an in-house counsels job. Deploying the correct software and SaaS can transform a company's culture by automating formerly manual compliance tasks and processes and allowing your company to redeploy scarce resources. The success of this right-sizing depends on the needs of your company and its relative exposure to legal risk. The panel will discuss the factors and methods different companies take into account when forecasting costs, measuring the Return on Investment (ROI) and defining success.</p> <p>Moderator: Curt Fall, TIP Trailer Services</p> <p>Panelist: Erik De Herdt, Lex.be Pinar Erdogan, HEXONET GmbH</p>	<p>DF 6</p> <p>Drones as an Enabling Technology</p> <p>Drones can substantially improve safety and security as well as surveillance and evidence gathering for, to name a few, the military, government, law enforcement, and site security. Because they can be quite small, they can go just about anywhere, and in some cases, without easy detection. In addition, they are often significantly more affordable compared to traditional methods. Join this panel session for a discussion of how drones facilitate security and investigations.</p> <p>Moderator: Timothy van Langeveld, Aerialtronics</p> <p>Panelists: Yasmin Bhatti, Bird & Bird Benjamyn Scott, University of Cologne Jan Wouter Kruyt, Aerialtronics</p>	<p>CS 6</p> <p>Rethinking Cyber Security in The Wake of The Panama Papers Hack - Lessons For Law Firms</p> <p>Despite the obvious, many law firms have ignored the most basic security measures. The Panama Papers attack is the perfect example. Mossack Fonseca, a Panama-based law firm, had no firewall, did not compartmentalise data, and did not update or upgrade out-of-date systems and software to name just a few deficiencies. So it is no surprise that this firm was hacked with little effort. Join this panel session for a discussion of the attack and what law firms can do to prevent or minimize such an attack.</p> <p>Moderator: Guy Kindermans, Belgian IT Journalist</p> <p>Panelist: Amar Singh, Cyber Management Alliance James Mckinlay, Independent Security Consultant David Daems, Verizon Enterprise Solutions Ina Kroj, EASE S.A.</p>
19.00 onwards	NETWORKING DINNER		